

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 20984 of 2018
Date of Decision: 23.08.2018**

MANGAT SINGH

...Petitioner

Vs.

FINANCIAL COMMISSIONER, PUNJAB AND ORS

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Ms. Harmanpreet Kaur , Advocate
for the petitioner.

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J. (Oral)

Assessment of merit is more appropriately left to the
widsom of the Collector of the District who is closest to the facts.

The petitioner has lost before the Commissioner and
Financial Commissioner, Punjab. The order of the Financial
Commissioner, Punjab was passed on 21.08.2018 (Annex. P-1), which
is much delayed approach in a *Lambardari* matter and shows lack of
due diligence. If the petitioner is not diligent in pursuing his remedies, I
wonder what he would do if he would be a *Lambadar*.

Findings of facts and assessment of merit of a candidate is
not to be re-appreciated in writ jurisdiction provided under Article 226
of the Constitution of India.

I find no ground to interfere with the three impugned orders
and would dismiss the petition.

**(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE**

23.08.2018

kv

Whether speaking/reasoned : *Yes/No*
Whether reportable : *Yes/No*