

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

230	CWP-22675-2017 Date of Decision: May 17, 2018
Kuldeep	Petitioner
versus	
State of Haryana and others	Respondents
230-2	CWP-23669-2017
Hardeep	Petitioner
versus	
State of Haryana and others	Respondents
230-3	CWP-25278-2017
Budh Ram	Petitioner
versus	
State of Haryana and others	Respondents
230-4	CWP-27203-2017
Raj Kumar	Petitioner
versus	
State of Haryana and others	Respondents
230-5	CWP-28544-2017
Rakesh Malik	Petitioner
versus	
State of Haryana and others	Respondents
230-6	CWP-598-2018
Puneet	Petitioner
versus	
State of Haryana and others	Respondents

230-7

CWP-23867-2017

Surender and another

.....Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

230-8

CWP-2048-2018

Gopal

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Pawan Kumar, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Rozer Kumar Aggarwal, Advocate
for the petitioner (in CWP-22675-2017)

Mr. V.K. Jindal, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Gopal Saini, Advocate
for (in CWP-27203-2017).

Mr. Diwan S. Adlakha, Advocate
for the petitioner (in CWP-23867-2017)

Mr. B.S. Tewatia, Advocate
for the petitioner in (CWP-25278-2017, CWP-28544-2017,
CWP 2048-2018 and CWP 598-218)

Mr. Rajesh Gaur, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

This order will dispose of **CWP-22675-2017, CWP-23669-2017, CWP-25278-2017, CWP-27203-2017, CWP-28544-2017, CWP-598-2018, CWP-23867-2017 and CWP-2048-2018**, as common questions of facts and law arise therein.

2. Parties are *ad idem* that representations of the petitioners for

appointment in general category, to the post of constable, are pending. Reliance is also placed upon order dated 04.12.2017 of this Court, passed in CWP No.27127-2017 titled as 'Rakesh Kumar Vs. State of Haryana and others' whereby, similar writ petitions have been disposed of with the direction to give appointments and other benefits in case the petitioners therein are otherwise eligible. It is further submitted that persons, lower in order of merit, have been given appointments pursuant to the aforementioned orders.

3. Thus, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the State of Haryana to objectively consider the pending representations of the petitioners in light of the judgment of this Court dated 04.12.2017, passed in CWP No. 27127-2017 in Rakesh Kumar, case supra within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. In case the petitioners are found entitled to be appointed, they shall be granted all consequential benefits.

A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of other connected cases.

May 17, 2018
sonia arora

[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes
Whether Reportable :	No