

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP No.20927 of 2018
Date of Decision:21.08.2018

Gaurav Singh

. Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR JAIN

Present: - Mr.D.S. Kahlon, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

RAKESH KUMAR JAIN, J.

The petitioner had appeared in the final Prof. Part-1 examination of Paper-A i.e. Community Medicine-I on 11.5.2018 and in Paper-B i.e. Community Medicine-II on 14.5.2018. According to him, Paper-A is of 60 marks having 6 questions which are divided into two parts. Each question is divided into two topics of 5 marks each and it was compulsory to answer all the questions. In Paper-A, he had attempted all the questions except question No.4(a) i.e. methods of data presentation, therefore, he had attempted questions carrying 55 marks out of 60 marks of Paper-A. Paper-B was also of 60 marks having 6 questions in which he has attempted all the questions except questions No.2(b) & 3(b). The result was declared on 20.6.2018 in which the petitioner secured 35.5 marks out of 60 marks in Paper-A and 19 marks out of 60 marks in Paper-B. The petitioner made an online application with prescribed fee for rechecking of his papers and after rechecking, the result was declared on 11.7.2018 without any change in the previous result.

The grievance of the petitioner is that he had attempted questions of 55 marks out of 60 marks in Paper-A and questions of 50 marks out of 60 marks in Paper-B but he was not awarded marks properly and the respondents did not re-evaluate the answers.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and perused the record.

The petitioner himself has averred in the petition that he had applied for rechecking of his result. The rechecking is regarding the recounting of the marks and not regarding re-evaluation of the answer-sheet by another examiner.

In view of the above, since the petitioner has not applied for re-evaluation, he cannot make a grouse in this regard and hence, the writ petition is totally misconceived and is hereby dismissed though without any order as to costs.

(RAKESH KUMAR JAIN)
JUDGE

21.08.2018

Vivek

Whether speaking /reasoned : *Yes/No*

Whether Reportable : *Yes/No*