

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.120

Civil Writ Petition No.20926 of 2018
DECIDED ON: August 21, 2018

JUGROOP SINGH

..PETITIONER

VERSUS

**THE SECRETARY TO GOVT. OF PUNJAB, DEPARTMENT OF
COOPERATION, MINI SECRETARIAT, SECTOR 9,
CHANDIGARH AND OTEHRS**

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Vishal Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Through the instant civil writ petition preferred under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought the issuance of a writ especially in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to grant all retiral benefits to him on his retirement on 31.12.2016 for the service rendered in respondent No.5-Society from 07.07.1981 to 31.12.2016 with 12% interest for delayed payments till the actual date of payment as per Full Bench judgment of this Court in R.S. Randhawa vs. State of Punjab, 1997 (3), RSJ 318 WITH FURTHER to grant outstanding salary of about Rs.11 lacs from June 2014 to 31.12.2016 with interest @ 12% till the date of actual payment and total benefit of Rs.19,66,662.30 as shown in the balance sheet dated 31.03.2017 as respondent society has sufficient funds in its saving account at present.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that though representations dated 15.05.2018 and 11.06.2018 (P-6 & P-7) were made to

Civil Writ Petition No.20926 of 2018

the respondents but till date no final decision has been taken. He further contends that petitioner feels satisfied in case a direction is issued to respondent No.3 to decide the aforesaid representations, in a time bound manner.

3. Taking into consideration the aforesaid aspects of the case, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 - the Deputy Registrar, Co-operative Societies, Bathinda to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in the representations dated 15.05.2018 and 11.06.2018 (P-6 & P-7) and take a conscious decision by passing a speaking order, within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. In case, respondent No.3 comes to the conclusion that petitioner is entitled relief claimed, same be released to him within a period of next 45 days. Factum of interest in view of judgment passed by Full Bench of this Court in case *A.S. Randhawa vs. State of Punjab & Ors., 1997 (3) SCT 468* as well as judgment passed by this Court in CWP No.8772 of 2015 captioned as *Charan Dass vs. State of Punjab & Ors.* decided on July 11, 2017 and Instructions No.1/15/90IFPIII/4226 dated 10.05.1990 issued by the Government of Punjab, be also considered on delayed payment(s).

4. However, if petitioner still feels aggrieved by any order(s) of the afore-said authority, he shall be at liberty to have recourse to the other remedies available under law as well as to approach this Court.

August 21, 2018

Ankur

**(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE**

**Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable**

**Yes
Yes/No**