

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.26038 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: 26.3.2018

Kamla Devi

....Petitioner

Versus

CA, HUDA and others

....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL**

Present: Mr.Sudhir Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr.Deepak Balyan, Advocate for the respondents-HUDA.

AJAY KUMAR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

The petitioner had approached this Court under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India seeking direction to respondents No.1 and 3 to deliver the suitable and valid possession of plot which was allotted to the petitioner vide allotment letter dated 4.4.1986.

2. It would not be essential to notice the detailed facts as learned counsel for the respondent-HUDA submitted that in lieu of plot 63-P, measuring 299 sq. meter in Sector 13 Hisar which was allotted vide memo No.4022 dated 4.4.1986, Plot No.1855-P, Sectors 16 and 17, Hisar has been allotted to the petitioner vide memo No.8487 dated 19.3.2018 wherein it has been provided that terms and conditions of allotment to the petitioner vide letter dated 4.4.1986 shall remain unchanged.

3. Learned counsel for the respondent-HUDA assured the court that physical possession shall also be delivered as offer of possession has already been given.

4. In view of the above, learned counsel for the petitioner states that the present petition has become infructuous and the same may be disposed of as such.

5. Consequently, the present petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

(Ajay Kumar Mittal)
Judge

March 26, 2018
Meenu

(Anupinder Singh Grewal)
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No