

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CM-18329-CWP-2018 in/and
CWP-22456-2017
Decided on : 17.12.2018**

Nimala Devi

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

The Authorized Officer, State Bank of India and others

... Respondent(s)

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL**

PRESENT: Mr. Arvind Rajotia, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Vikas Chatrath, Advocate
for respondent No. 1 – SBI.

Mr. Sandeep Goyal, Advocate
for respondents No.3 to 5.

AJAY KUMAR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

CM-18329-CWP-2018

Written statement on behalf of respondents No.3 to 5 filed along with the application is taken on record, subject to all just exceptions. Office to tag the same at the appropriate place.

CM stands disposed of.

CWP-22456-2017

The challenge in this writ petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, is to the order dated 09th May, 2017 (Annexure P-1), whereby, respondent No.1 had taken the symbolic possession of the property of the petitioner and is in process of taking physical possession of the residential house of the petitioner as well.

2. After arguing for sometime, learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that he may be allowed to withdraw the present writ petition with liberty

comprehensive representation or to take recourse to the alternative remedies as are available to her, in accordance with law.

3. Dismissed as withdrawn. It shall, however, be open to the petitioner to take recourse to the remedies as may be available to her, in accordance with law.

**(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE**

**(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE**

December 17, 2018

J.Ram

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No