

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.120

Civil Writ Petition No.20656 of 2018
DECIDED ON: August 18, 2018

BALBIR SINGH @ BALVIR SINGH

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Munish Gupta, Advocate, for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Through the instant civil writ petition, preferred under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought the issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the official respondents to release pending retiral benefits of the petitioner forthwith and to recalculate the qualifying period of service of the petitioner.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that though a representation was made to respondents No.2, 4 and 5 on June 13, 2017(P-3), but till date neither any reply to the said representation has been received nor any final order has been passed by the concerned Department.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that he feels satisfied in case a direction is issued to respondent No.2 to decide his representation dated June 13, 2017(P-3) within some specified period.

4. Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-Director, State Transport, Punjab, Jeevandeep Building, Ist Floor, Sector-17-A Chandigarh, to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in his

representation dated June 13, 2017(P-3) and to take a conscious decision by passing a speaking order as per rules and instructions issued by the Government from time to time within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

5. However, if petitioner still feels aggrieved by any of the orders passed by the aforesaid authority, he shall be at liberty to approach this Court.

18.08.2018

Anjal

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No