

**211-a IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Cross Objection Nos. 27-CII of 2010 and
FAO No. 691 of 2010 (O&M)**

Date of decision : December 13, 2018

R.C. Sharma

.....Appellant

Versus

Suman and others

....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE LISA GILL

Present: None for the appellant.

None for respondent No. 4/cross objectors.

Mr. Lalit Garg, Advocate
for the insurance company.

LISA GILL, J.

Following order was passed in this appeal on 05.07.2017:-

“ This is the sixth consecutive date, on which, there is no appearance on behalf of the appellant.

 In the interest of justice, adjourned to 23.11.2017.

 It is made clear that learned counsel for the appellant would be permitted to argue the matter on the adjourned date only after producing a receipt of ₹10,000/- as costs to be deposited with the Advocates Welfare Fund, Punjab and Haryana, High Court, Chandigarh.”

 Thereafter following order was passed on 12.07.2017 which reads as under:-

“ When the matter was taken up on the last occasion, it was noticed that there has been no appearance on behalf of the appellant on the previous occasions, the matter was however adjourned while observing that the appellant would be permitted to argue the matter only after deposit of ₹10,000/- as costs.

 As per office report, costs has not been deposited.

 Today, again there is no representation on behalf of the appellant.

In the interest of justice, adjourned to 17.07.2018.

Learned counsel for the appellant be notified of the date fixed in this case.”

Mr. Pradeep Sharma, Advocate had appeared on behalf of learned counsel for the appellant on July 17, 2018 and submitted that the counsel, who had filed the present appeal is now on the panel of Union of India, therefore, not pursuing the matter any longer. Time was sought to place on record power of attorney on behalf of the appellant and to deposit the cost as well.

Today, there is no representation on behalf of the appellant. It appears that the appellant is not interested in pursuing the matter any longer.

Appeal is, accordingly, dismissed in default.

None appears on behalf of the cross objector – respondent No.

4. Cross objections are also dismissed in default.

December 13, 2018
rts

(Lisa Gill)
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether reportable :	Yes/No