

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.115

Civil Writ Petition No.20630 of 2018
DECIDED ON: August 18, 2018

JASPAL KAUR

..PETITIONER

VERSUS

**PEPSU ROAD TRANSPORT CORPORATION, NABHA ROAD,
PATIALA THROUGH ITS MANAGING DIRECTOR**

...RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Vikas Chatrath, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J. (ORAL)

By virtue of the instant civil writ petition, preferred under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought the issuance of a writ especially in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondent to count qualifying service of petitioner's husband for grant of revised family pension w.e.f. date of his joining Corporation instead of date of contribution to provident fund and thereafter extending the benefit of the judgments (P-3 to P-5) which were implemented vide affidavit dated 04.02.2013 (P-6) and also in view of CWP No.7386 of 2016, captioned as *Harmeet Kaur vs. Pepsu Road Transport Corporation, Nabha Road, Patiala* decided on 23.04.201 (P-7) along with interest on the delayed

Civil Writ Petition No.20630 of 2018

payments @ 18% p.a. WITH FURTHER directing the respondent to convey the reasons for not counting the period of 07 years, 08 months and 14 days towards qualifying service and thereafter to quash the same.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that though a legal notice dated 11.07.2016 (P-8) was made to the respondent but till date no conscious decision has been taken thereon. He further submits that she feels satisfied in case a direction is issued to respondent to decide the above said legal notice (P-8), in a time bound manner.

3. Instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent to consider the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in her legal notice dated 11.07.2016 (P-8) and take a conscious decision by passing a speaking order, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

4. However, if petitioner still feels aggrieved by any of the orders passed by the aforesaid authority, she shall be at liberty to have recourse to other remedies available under the law including to approach this Court.

August 18, 2018

Ankur

**(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE**

**Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable**

**Yes
Yes/No**