

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.2059 of 2018
Date of decision: 01.02.2018

Jai Parkash and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Ram Niwas Sharma, Advocate for the petitioners.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

Contends, *inter alia*, that pursuant to repeated representations made by the petitioners, the respondent authorities, vide orders dated 31.05.2017, have promoted the petitioners to the post of Principal. But the date with effect from which their promotion would be effective is not specified. The limited grievance that the petitioners have is; that persons junior to the petitioners have since been promoted from an earlier date and, thus, they too ought to have been promoted w.e.f. 20.07.2007. When they became eligible for promotion, and not from 31.05.2017. The petitioners alleged to have represented to the respondents in this regard and have even served them with a legal notice dated 01.11.2017 (Annexure P7). But to no avail.

That being so, the petition is disposed of, at this stage, only with a direction to the respondents to consider and decide the claim of the petitioners, as also the legal notice dated 01.11.2017 (Annexure P7) within a

period of three months from the receipt of certified copy of this order. And pass appropriate orders in accordance with law. In the event, the claim of the petitioners is declined, the authority shall pass a comprehensive order assigning reasons in support thereof.

(Arun Palli)
Judge

01.02.2018
Rajan

Whether speaking / reasoned:	YES
Whether Reportable:	NO