

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.109

Civil Writ Petition No.20572 of 2018
DECIDED ON: August 18, 2018

UDAI VIR SINGH AND OTHERS

..PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Vikram Sheoran, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

JASPAL SINGH, J. (ORAL)

By virtue of instant petition preferred under Articles 226 and 227 of the Constitution of India, petitioners have sought issuance of a writ especially in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents to grant the selection grade of Rs.7500-12000 w.e.f. 01.01.1996 instead of 01.08.2000 to them on the post of lecturers as per Govt. of Haryana letter dated 12.12.2000 (P-1) vide which Head Masters of Government High School and 20% cadre posts of lecturers of Government High School and 20% cadre posts of lecturers of Government Senior Secondary Schools were granted by the respondents and qua Head Masters vide order dated 03.02.2015 (P-4) and they were granted w.e.f. 01.01.1996 instead of 01.08.2000 after CWP No.8082 of 2001 captioned as ***R.K. Verma & ors. vs. State of Haryana &***

Civil Writ Petition No.20572 of 2018

anr. decided on 01.12.2010 (P-2) and LPA No.951 of 2011 preferred by the State of Haryana & anr. was dismissed on 31.10.2012 (P-3), and SLP of the State was also dismissed on 01.11.2013 and review petition was also dismissed by the Hon'ble Apex Court on 02.12.2014.

2. The contention of learned counsel is that petitioners moved a legal notice dated 10.07.2018 (P-7) to respondents but till date no conscious decision has been taken. He further submits that petitioners feel satisfied in case a direction is issued to respondents particularly respondent No.2 to decide the afore-said legal notice (P-7), within some specified period.

3. Accordingly, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents particularly respondent No.2 – The Director of Secondary Education, Haryana, Shiksha Sadan, Sector 5, Panchkula to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioners in the legal notice dated 10.07.2018 (P-7) and to take a conscious decision by passing a speaking order in terms of the judgments mentioned in the aforesaid legal notice (P-7), within a period of four months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

4. However, if petitioners still feel aggrieved by any of the orders passed by the aforesaid authority, they shall be at liberty to have recourse to other remedies available under the law including to approach this Court.

August 18, 2018

Ankur

**(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE**

**Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable**

**Yes
Yes/No**