

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.107

Civil Writ Petition No.20529 of 2018
DECIDED ON: August 18, 2018

RAM KUMAR

..PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. S.K. Malik, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J. (ORAL)

By virtue of instant petition preferred under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents to regularize his services under policy dated 11.11.2003/27.02.2004 (P-2 colly) from the date junior employees to him have been regularized i.e. 01.04.2011 and release all consequential benefits arising out of it including arrears of salary, pension and pensionary benefits WITH FURTHER to decide his legal notice dated 25.05.2018 (P-8) within some stipulated period.

2. The contention of learned counsel is that petitioner joined as Class IV employee in Education Department and retired on 31.01.2016. Services of an employee who is junior to him have been regularised w.e.f. 01.04.2011 vide order dated 07.11.2017 (P-5). On the same, petitioner also

Civil Writ Petition No.20529 of 2018

deserves regularization of his services. Petitioner served a legal notice dated 25.05.2018 (P-8) to respondents but till date neither it has fetch any reply nor any relief has been granted to him. He further submits that petitioner feels satisfied in case a direction is issued to respondents particularly respondent No.3 to decide the afore-said legal notice (P-8), within some specified period.

3. Accordingly, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents particularly respondent No.3 – District Education Officer, Fatehabad to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in the legal notice dated 25.05.2018 (P-8) and to take a conscious decision by passing a speaking order as per rules and instructions issued by the Government from time to time, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

4. However, if petitioner still feels aggrieved by any of the orders passed by the aforesaid authority, he shall be at liberty to have recourse to other remedies available under the law including to approach this Court.

August 18, 2018

Ankur

**(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE**

**Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable**

**Yes
Yes/No**