

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CWP No.20513 of 2018

Date of Decision:-04.09.2018

Neetika Aggarwal & Anr.

.....Petitioners.

Versus

State of Punjab & Ors.

.....Respondents.

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASWANT SINGH

Present:- Mr. Sunil Kumar Bansal, Advocate for the Petitioners.

JASWANT SINGH, J.(ORAL)

The two petitioners are stated to have appeared in the PSTET-II Exam conducted in the year 2011 in the General and Scheduled Caste category respectively. The prescribed pass marks are 90 and 82 respectively, which is a mandatory qualifying test for appointment as Masters/Mistress with the Education Department, Punjab. Both the petitioners did not qualify the test as they did not secure the minimum pass marks. Pursuant to the directions passed by this Court in CWP No.11253 of 2017 titled as “Jaspreet Kaur Vs. State of Punjab” some grace marks were granted to all the candidates resulting into the revision of the result of PSTET-2011 Test Paper-2. Petitioners accordingly vide notice dated 24.10.2017 (P-12) were declared to have cleared the said exam, which concededly is valid for 07 years i.e. till the year 2018.

considered for appointment as teachers against the posts to be filled up on contract basis as per the advertisement dated 09.09.2012, since they were considered ineligible on account of having not cleared the PSTET-II test conducted by the Punjab government.

After hearing learned Counsel for the petitioners, no grounds to invoke writ jurisdiction is made out.

The selection process for appointment of teachers on contract basis pursuant to the advertisement of year 2011 stood completed long time back. The petitioners had not joined the selection process undertaken as per the advertisement since concededly they were ineligible at that time. After the revision of the result in October 2017 and the petitioners having become eligible would not have any vested right for determination of their merit in a selection process which stands concluded way back in the year 2015.

In view of the above, finding no merit in the present writ petition the same is hereby dismissed.

(JASWANT SINGH)
JUDGE

September 04, 2018
Vinay

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>