Ankur Goyal
2018.08.18 13:41
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.117

Civil Writ Petition No.20455 of 2018
DECIDED ON: *August 17, 2018*

DHARAM PAL

..PETITIONER

VERSUS

UTTAR HARYANA BIJLI VITRAN NIGAM LIMITED AND OTEHRS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: None for the petitioner.

*****

JASPAL SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Through the instant civil writ petition, preferred under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought the issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents to count his daily wager service as qualifying service for the purpose of pensionary benefits for the period 01.07.1982 to 01.05.1993 along with all consequential benefits as well as interest @ 18% per annum from the date of accrual till the date of realization.

2. File perused.

3. A close scrunity of the file transpires that for claiming the relief sought through the instant petition, petitioner has already served legal notice dated 27.05.2018 (P-4) upon the respondents and as per averments made in the petition, neither respondents have responded to the aforesaid legal notice (P-4) nor taken any conscious decision. So in the aforesaid facts and circumstances, this Court is of the considered view that instant petition can

Ankur Goyal
2018.08.18 13:41
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

be conveniently disposed of by giving a direction to the respondents to take a conscious decision within some stipulated period.

4. Accordingly, without expressing anything on merits but considering the inaction on the parts of the respondents, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in the legal notice dated 27.05.2018 (P-4) and to take a conscious decision by passing a speaking order, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

5. However, if petitioner still feels aggrieved by any of the orders passed by the aforesaid authority, he shall be at liberty to have recourse to the remedies available under law as well as to approach this Court.

August 17, 2018 **(JASPAL SINGH)**
Ankur **JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned **Yes**
Whether reportable **Yes/No**