

115 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 20448 of 2018
DECIDED ON: AUGUST 17, 2018**

BALDEV SINGH

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: None for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Through the instant civil writ petition, preferred under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought the issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents to grant him 24 years ACP scale.

2. File perused.

3. A perusal of the file transpires that petitioner has already served representation dated 02.11.2015 (P-2) followed by legal notice dated 10.10.2017 (P-3) upon the respondents but neither the respondents have responded to the same nor taken any conscious decision till date. So in the aforesaid facts and circumstances, this Court is of the considered view that instant petition can be conveniently disposed of by giving a direction to respondent No.2 to take a conscious decision within some stipulated period.

4. Accordingly, without expressing anything on merits but considering the inaction on the part of the respondents, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to consider the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in the representation dated 02.11.2015 (P-2) followed by legal notice dated 10.10.2017 (P-3) and to take a conscious decision by passing a speaking order, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

5. However, if petitioner still feels aggrieved against any order passed by the aforesaid authority, he shall be at liberty to have recourse to the remedies available under law as well as to approach this Court.

AUGUST 17, 2018
sham

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>