

111 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 20425 of 2018
DECIDED ON: AUGUST 17, 2018

KARANJIT SINGH

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: None for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Through the instant civil writ petition, preferred under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought the issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondent-department to pay him the higher pay scale as he actual worked as Deputy Chief Executive Officer/District Development and Panchayat Officer, Class-I officer in terms of the decision of this Court rendered in RSA No. 1539 of 1999, titled as **Commissioner and Secretary to Govt. of Haryana, Industrial Training and Vocational Education, Chandigarh vs. S.K. Bagga** (P-5) and CWP No. 2441 of 1993, titled as **Lachhman Dass vs. State of Punjab and others** (P-7).

2. File perused.

3. A perusal of the file transpires that petitioner has already served

legal notice dated 22.11.2016 (P-1) followed by reminder dated 11.06.2018

(P-2) upon the respondents but neither the respondents have responded to the aforesaid legal notice (P-1) and its reminder (P-2) nor taken any conscious decision. So in the aforesaid facts and circumstances, this Court is of the considered view that instant petition can be conveniently disposed of by giving a direction to respondents to take a conscious decision within some stipulated period.

4. Accordingly, without expressing anything on merits but considering the inaction on the part of the respondents, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents particularly to respondent No.2 to consider the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in the legal notice dated 22.11.2016 (P-1) followed by reminder dated 11.06.2018 (P-2) and to take a conscious decision by passing a speaking order, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

5. However, if petitioner still feels aggrieved against any order passed by the aforesaid authority, he shall be at liberty to have recourse to the remedies available under law as well as to approach this Court.

AUGUST 17, 2018
sham

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No