

**CWP No. 20408 of 2018
DECIDED ON: AUGUST 17, 2018**

GHANAYA PAL (RETD)

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: None for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Through the instant civil writ petition, preferred under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought the issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents to count his daily wage/work charge service towards pensionary benefits and to release the consequential benefits thereof, with interest @ 12% per annum.

2. File perused.

3. A perusal of the file transpires that petitioner has already served a legal notice dated 02.05.2018 (P-5) upon the respondents but neither the respondents have responded to the aforesaid legal notice (P-5) nor taken any conscious decision. So in the aforesaid facts and circumstances, this Court is of the considered view that instant petition can be conveniently disposed of by giving a direction to the respondents to take a conscious decision within some stipulated period.

4. Accordingly, without expressing anything on merits but considering the inaction on the part of the respondents, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents to consider the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in the legal notice dated 02.05.2018 (P-5) and to take a conscious decision by passing a speaking order, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

5. However, if petitioner still feels aggrieved against any order passed by the aforesaid authority, he shall be at liberty to have recourse to the remedies available under law as well as to approach this Court.

AUGUST 17, 2018
sham

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>