

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.123

Civil Writ Petition No.2039 of 2018
DECIDED ON: January 30, 2018

TEJ PAUL WADHWA

..PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Amrik Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J. (ORAL)

By virtue of the instant civil writ petition, preferred under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought the issuance of a writ especially in the nature of Certiorari quashing the letter dated February 03, 2014 (P-2) and letter dated March 30, 2015 (P-7) vide which the case of the petitioner for stepping up his pay to bring it at par with his junior has been declined and further for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to step up the pay and consequential pension of the petitioner to bring it at par with his junior who being the junior of the petitioner has been drawing more pay and pension than the petitioner and to pay arrears along with interest @ 18% per annum.

2. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he feel satisfied in case a direction is issued to respondent No.1 to decide the legal notice dated December 05, 2017 (P-8) within some specified period.

Civil Writ Petition No.2039 of 2018

3. Instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.1 to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in the above said legal notice (P-8) and to take a conscious decision by passing a speaking order, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

4. However, if petitioner still feels aggrieved by any of the order(s) passed by the aforesaid authority, he shall be at liberty to approach this Court.

January 30, 2018

Ankur

**(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether reportable

Yes/No