

125 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-20370-2018
Date of decision : 16.08.2018

Narender Kumar Petitioner

VS

Andhra Bank Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN**

Present: Mr. Sanjiv Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

AJAY KUMAR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

The prayer made in this writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is for quashing 30 days sale notice dated 26.06.2018 (Annexure P-2). A further prayer has been made in the present petition for directing the respondent-bank to regularise the account of the petitioner, on depositing the overdrawn/irregular amount.

2. After arguing for sometime, learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that he may be allowed to withdraw the present writ petition with liberty to the petitioner to approach the bank or pursue alternative remedies, in accordance with law.

3. Dismissed as withdrawn. It shall, however, be open to the petitioner to take recourse to the remedies as may be available to him in accordance with law.

(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

16.08.2018
anju

Whether speaking/reasoned?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No