

**107 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 20352 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of decision : August 16, 2018**

Joginder Pal Singh and others

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

..... Respondents

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH**

Present:- Mr. Prateek Gupta, Advocate for the petitioners.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

A.B. CHAUDHARI J. (ORAL)

The petitioners had approached the Industrial Tribunal under the provisions of Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 in the matter of alleged anomalies in the fixation of pay scale. The Award made by the Industrial Tribunal in their favour was set aside by this Court for error of jurisdiction, though not on merits. The writ Court, however, kept liberty intact for the petitioners to avail appropriate alternate remedy.

In our opinion, the alternate effective remedy for the petitioners would be to go to the Government to point out the anomalies or point out whatever grievance they have by making proper representation as the subject matter relates to fiscal policy. In the absence of any policy decision, we decline to entertain the petition. We, therefore, allow the petitioners to withdraw the present petition with liberty to approach the Government with

appropriate representation/reference. If such representation/reference is made to the Government, we hope that the Government would look into it within a reasonable time.

Disposed of with liberty as aforesaid.

(A.B.CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

August 16, 2018
sarita

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No