

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.20351 OF 2018
DECIDED ON: AUGUST 16, 2018

BALWAN SINGH

....PETITIONER..

VS.

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS.

....RESPONDENTS..

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present:- Mr. Harkaran Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J.

Through instant petition, preferred under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the official respondents No.2 to 8 to consider the claim of handicapped conveyance allowance of the petitioner in all pensionary benefits.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that though petitioner moved various representations including representation dated 23.11.2017 (P-10) but till date neither any reply has been received nor any final order has been passed by the respondent(s).

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that petitioner feels satisfied in case direction is issued to respondents to decide aforesaid representation (P-10) in a time bound manner.

4. Instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents to

look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in representation (P-10) and take a conscious decision, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

5. However, if petitioner still feels aggrieved by any of the orders passed by the aforesaid authority, he shall be at liberty to have recourse to other remedies available under law including to approach this Court.

AUGUST 16, 2018
sonika

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes
Whether reportable:	Yes/No