IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

221 **CWP-22049-2017**
Date of Decision: 26.03.2018

SARJA SINGH AND ORS. ....Petitioner(s).

V/S

STATE OF PUNJAB & ORS. ...Respondents.

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Jasjeet Singh Dhaliwal, Advocate for
Mr. Naresh Kaushal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Jagmohan S. Ghuman, DAG, Punjab

Mr. Harit Sharma, Advocate
for respondents-GMADA.

***

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J.

The petitioners, by way of present writ petitions filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, are seeking writ of certiorari/mandamus directing the respondents to quash the impugned orders dated 15.04.2009 (Annexure P-3), 27.10.2009 (Annexure P-5), 08.03.2011 (Annexure P-7) and 07.03.2017 (Annexure P-8); to direct the respondents to make allotment of plot under oustee category by entertaining the application of the petitioners; or to issue any other appropriate writ, order or direction in the matter.

2. Learned counsel for respondents No.3 and 4 submitted that a public notice has been issued on 17.03.2018 published in the English Tribune inviting applications for allotment of plots in ‘Oustee Category’ in respect of all the oustees, who had become oustees at different points of time. A copy of the said notice is taken on record. It has further been stated that the last date for receiving the applications is 31.03.2018. Accordingly, a

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

prayer was made that since the relief claimed in the writ petition has been granted, therefore, the writ petition has been rendered infructuous and be disposed of as such.

3. Ordered accordingly.

4. However, learned counsel for petitioners submitted that the petitioners are not able to verify the aforesaid facts as stated by the learned counsel for respondents No.3 and 4.

5. In view of the statement of learned counsel for respondents No.3 and 4, liberty is granted to the petitioners to move an application for revival of the petition, in case something survives therein.

6. It is further observed that the respondents-GMADA authorities shall fix the date for the draw of lots expeditiously.

(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

26.03.2018
SwarnjitS

Whether speaking/reasoned : YES/NO
Whether reportable : YES/NO