

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.20300 OF 2018
DECIDED ON: AUGUST 16, 2018

AJMER SINGH AND ORS.

....PETITIONERS..

VS.

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS.

....RESPONDENTS..

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present:- Mr. S.K. Malik, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

JASPAL SINGH, J.

By virtue of instant petition, preferred under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioners have sought issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to grant one additional increment of higher responsibility under Rule 4.4(2) (c) (i) as per Vol-1, Part-1 of Punjab Civil Service Rules in view of judgment rendered in CWP No.3922 of 2011, decided on 03.10.2016 and letter dated 15.03.2018 issued by Finance Department, Haryana on getting promotion on the post of SDE and re-fix the pay as well as to revise the pension with all consequential benefits. And further to release the arrears of difference between due and drawn along with interest @18% p.a.

2. At the very outset of the arguments, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that though legal notice dated 16.03.2017 (P-3) was duly served upon the respondents followed by reminder dated 25.05.2018 (P-4) but

till date neither any reply has been received nor any conscious decision has been taken by the respondent(s).

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners further submits that petitioners feel satisfied in case direction is issued to respondent No.2 to decide aforesaid legal notice (P-3), within a stipulated period

4. Instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2- Financial Commissioner-cum-Principal Secretary, Govt. Of Haryana, Department of PWD B&R, Haryana, Civil Secretariat, Chandigarh to consider the claim put forth by the petitioners in legal notice (P-3) and take a conscious decision, within a period of four months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

5. However, if petitioners still feel aggrieved by any of the orders passed by the aforesaid authority, they shall be at liberty to have recourse to other remedies available under law including to approach this Court.

AUGUST 16, 2018
sonika

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes
Whether reportable:	Yes/No