

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.2026 of 2018
Date of decision: 30.01.2018

Manju Chaudhary

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Surender Pal, Advocate for the petitioner.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

The limited grievance that the petitioner has is; that she was appointed as Programme Officer by way of direct recruitment on 02.03.2004. And she joined as such on 22.06.2004. Her claim, as set out in the petition, is that she happened to be senior to one Bhagat Singh, Som Dutt & Promila (respondents No.3 to 5) and despite that they were promoted to the post of Child Welfare Officer ignoring her claim. Particularly, when the criterion for promotion was only on the basis of seniority/length of service. Petitioner alleged to have repeatedly represented to the respondents in this regard. But to no avail. Even the last representation made by the petitioner dated 05.06.2017 (Annexure P9) has failed to evoke any response.

That being so, the petition is disposed of, at this stage, only with a direction to respondents No.1 & 2 to consider and decide the claim of the petitioner, as also her representation dated 05.06.2017 (Annexure P9), within a period of 3 months from the receipt of certified copy of this order.

And pass appropriate orders in accordance with law. Needless to assert that in the event the claim of the petitioner is declined, the authority shall pass a comprehensive order assigning reasons in support thereof.

30.01.2018
Rajan

(Arun Palli)
Judge

Whether speaking / reasoned:	YES
Whether Reportable:	NO