IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.2025 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of decision: 30.01.2018

Satish Kumar and others

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Rahul Sharma-I, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

****

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By way of the present petition, the petitioners seek issuance of directions to the respondents to revise and refix the seniority of the petitioners at par with their general category counter parts/upper school course batch mates.

Learned counsel states, at this stage, he will be satisfied in case respondent No.2 is directed to consider and decide the legal notice dated 01.10.2017 (Annexure P-7) and representation dated 03.01.2017 (Annexure P-8).

Heard.

In view of the above, without adverting to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to consider and decide the legal notice dated 01.10.2017 (Annexure P-7) and representation dated 03.01.2017 (Annexure P-8). In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the

Sumit Kumar
2018.02.12 16:39
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

benefit claimed by the petitioners is admissible to them, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to them, within a period of three months thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioners is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

30.01.2018 **(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)**
sumit.k **JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes No

Whether Reportable : Yes No

Sumit Kumar
2018.02.12 16:39
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document