

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP- 20142-2018 (O&M)
Date of decision: 15.11.2018

Jagat Singh alias Jagte

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr.Justice Kuldip Singh

Present: Mr.Randeep S. Dhull, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr.Ramesh Kumar Ambavta, AAG Haryana

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral)

Petitioner has challenged the impugned order dated 21.6.2018 (Annexure P1) vide which his application for parole has been rejected by Commissioner, Rohtak Division, Rohtak.

Heard.

Parole has been rejected on the ground that the petitioner may jump parole and peace of the village may be disturbed. It was reported by the Superintendent of Jail that four other FIRs are registered against the convict.

State was directed to supply information as to whether the petitioner availed parole earlier and whether he has misused the same.

State has filed reply and it is stated that convict has availed parole for four weeks from 5.5.2017 to 3.6.2017 and for six weeks from 16.9.2017 to 27.10.2017. He surrendered within time and did not misuse

the parole.

It being so, apprehension of the State is unfounded as he has not misused the parole.

Hence, the impugned order dated 21.6.2018 (Annexure P1) passed by Commissioner, Rohtak Division, Rohtak is set aside. The petitioner is ordered to be released on three weeks' parole to meet his family and attend family matters, subject to his furnishing surety bonds to the satisfaction of District Magistrate, Rohtak.

It is made clear that no extension shall be granted after expiry of parole. The petitioner shall surrender himself at the gate of the Jail.

Petition is allowed accordingly.

15.11.2018

gk

(Kuldip Singh)
Judge

Whether speaking/ reasoned:

Yes

Whether Reportable:

No