

120

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-2002-2018

Date of decision-30.01.2018

Jaswinder

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Abhishek Arora, Advocate for the petitioner.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of a writ, in the nature of certiorari for quashing the order dated 14.08.2017 (Annexure P-6) whereby appointment of the petitioner has been rejected for the post of Male Constable Driver.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that at this stage he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.2- Director General of Police, Punjab Police, Police Headquarters, Sector-9, Chandigarh to consider and decide the legal notice/representation dated 06.11.2017 (Annexure P-11).

Heard.

In view of the statement made and without adverting to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2- Director General of Police, Punjab Police, Police Headquarters, Sector-9, Chandigarh to consider and decide the legal

notice/representation dated 06.11.2017 (Annexure P-11). In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioner is admissible to him, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to him, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioner is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

30.01.2018

vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No