

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 19982 of 2018
Date of decision :16.10.2018

The Karur Vasya Bank Limited

..... Petitioner

Versus

District Magistrate, Patiala and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Ms.Sheerat Sharma, Advocate for
Mr.Nitin Grover, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr.Mehardeep Singh, Additional Advocate General,
Punjab.
Mr.Rajat Garg, Advocate for respondent Nos.4 and
5.

AJAY KUMAR MITTAL, J.

1. The prayer made in the writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is for issuing direction to respondent No.1 to 3 to take the physical possession of the mortgaged property and hand over to the petitioner as it is a secured asset.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the physical possession of the secured assets has been delivered to the petitioner and, therefore, the writ petition has been rendered infructuous and may be disposed of as such.

3. Ordered accordingly.

(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE

October 16, 2018
KD

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE