

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.19869 OF 2018
DECIDED ON: AUGUST 10, 2018

PARMJIT KAUR

....PETITIONER..

VS.

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS.

....RESPONDENTS..

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present:- Mr. Baljeet Singh Sidhu, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J.

By virtue of instant petition, preferred under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to grant family pension along with interest @ 18% per annum on account of death of her husband-Balwinder Singh.

2. The contention of learned counsel for the petitioner is that the husband of petitioner namely Balwinder Singh was taken away by the nature on 07.08.2013 i.e. after the date of his retirement i.e.on 31.07.2012. After the death of her husband, petitioner being his widow is fully entitled for grant of family pension. Even, she moved representation dated 11.10.2016 followed by legal notice dated 09.03.2018 (P-8) but till date no action has been taken. He further submits that petitioner feels satisfied in case direction is issued to respondent

No.2 to decide legal notice (P-8), in a time bound manner.

3. Instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2- Director General of Police, Punjab Police, Mini Secretariat, Sector 9, Chandigarh to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in legal notice dated 09.03.2018 (P-8) and take a conscious decision, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.
4. However, if petitioner still feels aggrieved by any of the orders passed by the aforesaid authority, she shall be at liberty to have recourse to other remedies available under law including to approach this Court.

AUGUST 10, 2018
sonika

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes
Whether reportable:	Yes/No