

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.19865 OF 2018
DECIDED ON: AUGUST 10, 2018

JAGDISH CHANDER AND ORS.

....PETITIONERS..

VS.

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS.

....RESPONDENTS..

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present:- Mr. N.K. Malhotra, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

JASPAL SINGH, J.

Through instant petition, preferred under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioners have sought issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to grant one additional increment under Rule 4.4(2) (c) (i) as per Vol-1, Part-1 of Punjab Civil Service Rules and in lieu of judgment rendered in CWP No.3922 of 2011 vide order dated 03.10.2016 and in lieu of letter dated 15.03.2018 (P-3) issued by finance department on getting promotion on the post of SDC and SDO and some other posts also from the date of promotion and re-fix the pay and revise the pension accordingly. And further to release arrears of difference between due and drawn along with 18% interest.

2. At the very outset of the arguments, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners feel satisfied in case direction is issued to

respondents No.2 and 3 to decide legal notice dated 28.03.2018 (P-5), duly served upon the respondents, in a time bound manner.

3. Instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents No.2 and 3 to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioners in legal notice dated 28.03.2018 (P-5) and take a conscious decision, within a period of four months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

4. However, if petitioners still feel aggrieved by any of the orders passed by the aforesaid authority, they shall be at liberty to have recourse to other remedies available under law including to approach this Court.

AUGUST 10, 2018
sonika

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes
Whether reportable:	Yes/No