

CWP No.19787 of 2018

Date of Decision: 27.09.2018.

Reena

...Petitioner

Versus

State of U.T. Chandigarh and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR JAIN

Present: Mr. Rohit Kumar , Advocate
for the petitioner.Mr. Vikas Chatrath, Advocate
for respondents No. 1 & 2- U.T.Mr. Sunil Kumar Chaudhary, Advocate
for respondent No.3.

RAKESH KUMAR JAIN, J. (ORAL)

This petition is directed against the order dated 14.06.2018 passed by the Additional Deputy Commissioner-cum-Maintenance Tribunal, Chandigarh allowing the application of respondent No. 3 by which the petitioner has been ordered to be vacated from the house in question.

Learned counsel for respondents No. 1 & 2 has submitted that the order passed by the Additional District Magistrate is now appealable in terms of Clause 3.3 of the Chandigarh Maintenance & Welfare of Parents and Senior Citizens(2nd Amendment) Rules, 2017 notified on 29.11.2017.

Faced with this situation, learned counsel for the petitioner prays for withdrawal of the petition in order to file the appeal.

In case, such appeal is filed within 10 days from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order before the competent appellate authority, the stay granted vide order dated 13.08.2018 shall continue.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

Liberty is granted.

(RAKESH KUMAR JAIN)
JUDGE

September 27, 2018

Jyoti-IV

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No