

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.19772 OF 2018
DECIDED ON: AUGUST 09, 2018

OM PARKASH KUNDU

....PETITIONER..

VS.

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS.

....RESPONDENTS..

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present:- Mr. R.S. Kundu, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J.

Through instant petition, preferred under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari to quash the proceedings dated 20.05.2016/27.05.2016 (P-1) being in violation of rules and instructions i.e. P-9 to P-11 and issue a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to release all retiral benefits such as death-cum-retirement gratuity and commutation of pension and other retiral benefits along with interest.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that though legal notice dated 15.02.2018 (P-7) was duly served upon the respondents but till date neither any reply to the said legal notice has been received nor any final order has been passed by the respondents.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that petitioner

feels satisfied in case a direction is issued to respondent No.1 to decide legal notice (P-7) in a time bound manner.

4. Instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.1- Additional Chief Secretary, Department of Administration of Justice, Sector 1, Chandigarh to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in legal notice (P-7) and take a conscious decision, within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

5. However, if petitioner still feels aggrieved by any of the orders passed by the aforesaid authority, he shall be at liberty to have recourse to other remedies available under law including to approach this Court.

AUGUST 09, 2018
sonika

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes
Whether reportable:	Yes/No