
CWP-21350-2017

Date of decision: 19.01.2018

Surinder Singh

..... Petitioner.

VS.

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. Avnish Mittal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Aditya Sharda, AAG, Punjab.

DAYA CHAUDHARY,J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has not been allowed to work and application moved by the petitioner to Director, Rural Development and Panchayats Punjab, is still pending, whereas as learned State counsel, on the basis of reply, submits that the petitioner has not been restrained from doing work of Sarpanch.

In view of the statement made by learned State counsel, no direction is required to be issued and the petition stands disposed of.

However, it is clarified that in case any pending application is there, the Director, Rural Development and Panchayats Punjab, is directed to decide the same within a period of two weeks.

It is further made clear that in case the petitioner is still aggrieved in any manner, he is at liberty to move representation before the concerned authority.

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

19.01.2018

smriti

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No