

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.3179 of 2013 (O&M)
Date of decision: 16.3.2018

Parveen Kumar and others

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B.BAJANTHRI

Present: Mr.Manu K.Bhandari, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr.RBS Chahal, Addl.AG Punjab.

Mr.Amit Chaudhary, Advocate.

P.B.BAJANTHRI, J. (Oral)

In the instant petition, petitioners have challenged internal communication between the Govt. and Registrar General of this Court vide order (Annexure P-4) dated 6.8.2012 and order (Annexure P-6) dated 9.1.2013.

2. Orders (Annexures P-4 and P-6) i.e. internal communication between State of Punjab and Registrar General of this Court are for taking necessary action with reference to State Govt. decision. Further, Registrar General of this Court has not acted upon while re-fixing the petitioners' pay and ordered for recovery, if any. In other words, the present petition is premature insofar as the re-fixation of pay and recovery is concerned.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that in the absence of order of re-fixation of pay, competent Authority has reduced petitioners' pay w.e.f. 1.12.2011. If it is so, petitioners have to challenge the

pay slip.

4. Consequently, the present petition does not survive for consideration. Accordingly, the petition stands disposed of reserving liberty to the petitioners to challenge the pay slip by which their pay is stated to have been reduced. In the absence of specific order and so also challenge to that order or action in the present petition such issues cannot be gone into.

(P.B.BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE

16.3.2018
Meenu

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No