

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CWP No. 19519 of 2018

Date of Decision: October 10, 2018

Bikramjit Singh and another

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA

Present: Mr.Yaseem Sethi, Advocate
for Mr. H.S.Jakhal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Rajan Gupta, J (Oral)

Petitioners have sought a writ in the nature of mandamus to direct respondent Nos. 2 to 4 to consider representation/complaint dated 16.4.2018 made by them to the concerned authority regarding certain embezzlement by the Committee members of the dera in question. This court is of the considered view that disputed questions of fact are involved, which can not be adjudicated upon in a writ jurisdiction. Besides, only prayer in the writ petition is for decision of the representation. A query has been put to learned counsel whether writ of mandamus can be sought only for deciding a representation. No clear answer is forthcoming. Under the circumstances, writ petition is hereby dismissed. However, petitioners are at liberty to avail appropriate remedy if any available to them.

**(Rajan Gupta)
Judge**

October 10, 2018

BB

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No