

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-24819-2016 (O&M)

Date of Decision:-22.11.2018.

Mohar Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

Present: Mr. Pawan Kumar Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Amit Arora, Advocate for the respondents.

ARUN MONGA, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the present case is covered by a decision rendered by the Division Bench of Delhi High Court in the case of *Union of India and others Vs. Manju Vashistha and others* reported in **2017 (3) AD (Delhi) 255**. Contends that the respondents be therefore, directed to consider the case of the petitioner and pass appropriate orders accordingly.

2.) In this view of the matter, present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 to treat the writ petition as representation and also keeping in view the contention of the learned counsel for the petitioner that the case is covered by the judgment *ibid*. Learned counsel for the petitioner further contends that the said judgment of Hon'ble Delhi High Court was not challenged by the respondents and has since attained finality.

3.) Accordingly, writ petition stands disposed of.

**(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE**

November 22, 2018.

sandeep

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes / No

Whether Reportable:-

Yes / No.