

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.19513 OF 2018
DECIDED ON: AUGUST 07, 2018

SHIV RAJ SINGH

.....PETITIONER..

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Tara Chand Dhanwal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J.

Through instant petition, preferred under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondent-department to count the adhoc service towards pensionary benefits and to calculate monthly pension equal to the immediate juniors as per the revision of pay scale from time to time.

2. At the very outset of the arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though petitioner moved representation/application dated 10.03.2016 (P-12) to respondent No.2 but till date no final decision has been taken. He further submits that petitioner feels satisfied in case direction is issued to respondent No.2 to decide aforesaid representation/application (P-12), within a stipulated period.

3. Instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-

Director Agricultural Haryana, Sector 21, Panchkula, District Panchkula (Haryana) to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in representation (P-12) and to take a final decision in accordance with law, rules and instructions issued by government from time to time, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

4. However, if the petitioner still feels aggrieved against any of the order(s) passed by the concerned authority, he shall be at liberty to have recourse to the remedies available under law as well as to approach this Court.

AUGUST 07, 2018
sonika

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned *Yes*
Whether reportable *Yes/No*