

224

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-21236-2017 (O&M)
Date of decision-03.08.2018**

Tarsem Lal and others

...Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Jimmy Singla, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Harsimrat Rai, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. B.S.Sidhu, Advocate for respondent No.6.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to carve out a service road from point A and Point B in the site plan.

Learned counsel for respondent No.6 on telephonic instructions from Ms. Baljinder Kaur, Executive Officer, Nagar Council Patran states that the project in question stands completed and they have even started collecting the toll tax. He further states that the present petition has been rendered infructuous.

Vide order dated 10.07.2018, learned counsel for the petitioners was directed to have instructions/necessary information, however, he states

that he could not obtain the necessary information.

The Court feels that the present petition is ill-conceived and no cause of action has arisen in favour of the petitioners to invoke the writ jurisdiction of this Court by way of filing the instant petition.

Consequently, the present writ petition stands dismissed.

Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

03.08.2018
vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No