

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.8511 of 2012 (O&M)

Date of Decision:09.01.2018

Dr. Jagdev Singh

... Petitioner

Vs.

Union of India and others

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present : Mr. Minkal, Advocate for
Mr. S.P.S. Tinna, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikram Bajaj, Advocate, Senior Panel Counsel
for the respondents.

P.B. BAJANTHRI J. (Oral)

On 21.08.2017, following order was passed:

“Learned counsel for the respondents pointed out that petitioner had submitted application for upgradation of his annual confidential report for the period from 01.04.2005 to 08.08.2005. Respondents have taken a decision that petitioner is not entitled for upgradation of his annual confidential report from good to very good vide Annexure P-12. In the absence of challenge to Annexure P-12 dated 08.11.2011, grievance sought in the present petition cannot be decided.

Learned counsel for the petitioner intends to challenge Annexure P-12 by making necessary application. He is permitted to do so.

List this matter on 24.11.2017.”

Thereafter on 24.11.2017 at the request of the learned counsel for the petitioner, matter was adjourned. During the intervening period, petitioner was permitted to challenge order dated 8.11.2011 (Annexure P-12). Till date, there is no challenge to order dated 8.11.2011 (Annexure P-12). Consequently, petition do not survive for consideration and the same is

hereby dismissed. Reserving liberty to the petitioner to challenge order dated 8.11.2011 (Annexure P-12), if so advised, in accordance with law.

09.01.2018
rajeev

(P.B. Bajanthri)
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned **Yes/No**

Whether reportable **Yes/No**