

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-2575-2015 (O&M)
Date of decision: 16.11.2018**

Renu Garg

...Petitioner

Versus

Panjab University and another

...Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. Vinod Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. B.L. Gupta, Advocate for respondents No. 1 and 2.

Ritu Bahri, J. (Oral).

Learned counsel for the University on instructions from Anil, Panjab University, Chandigarh, has informed that appointments of Darshan Singh, Simardeep Kaur, Shilpy Chopra and Jagjit Singh were made by giving advertisement on the minimum regular pay scale. He further states that these appointments are on contractual basis.

The case pleaded by the petitioner is that the petitioner was appointed as Library Assistant in the University on contract basis on the fixed pay of Rs.4,000/- p.m. through proper channel and this fact has not been denied in para 2 of the written statement by the University. The petitioner as well as other candidates have joined on contract/adhoc basis and their appointments have not been made on regular basis. Keeping in view that the due selection process has been adopted for appointment of the petitioner, different pay scale has been given to candidates, who have appointed at Sr. 47 to 51 as per the office order dated 31.08.2018 (Annexure

A-1). The name of the petitioner is at Sr. No. 12 and her salary is reflected as Rs. 20,900/- p.m.

This petition is allowed by giving direction to the respondents to consider the case of the petitioner and pass appropriate order within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order keeping in view the judgment passed by Supreme Court in *Civil Appeal No. 213 of 2013 State of Punjab and others V/s. Jagjit Singh and others*, decided on 26.10.2016.

16.11.2018

Divyanshi

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

:

Yes/No

Whether reportable

:

Yes/No