

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-21022-2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision:-11.01.2018.

Buta Singh and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

Union of India and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present: Mr. P.S. Mirpur, Advocate for the petitioners.

P.B. BAJANTHRI, J. (Oral)

Matter relates to appointment in lieu of land acquisition. On 15.09.2017 petitioner was directed to produce relevant policy and matter was adjourned on two occasions. Petitioner failed to comply the order dated 15.09.2017. For the purpose of seeking appointment in lieu of land acquisition, one must have statutory right under Regulation/Rules/Executive order. In the absence of statutory right, Court cannot issue writ of mandamus directing the concerned respondent to consider petitioner's grievance relating to appointment in lieu of land acquisition. Thus, petitioner has not made out a case.

Accordingly, petition stands dismissed. Reserving liberty to the petitioner to approach the concerned authority with a request to furnish any Regulation/Executive Order governing to appoint in lieu of land acquisition, if any. If the petitioner approaches the concerned authority, the

concerned respondent is hereby directed to furnish copy of the policy if it is in vogue.

(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE

January 11, 2018.
sandeep

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes / No

Whether Reportable:-

Yes / No.