

112

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-1926-2018

Date of decision-30.01.2018

Upasana Shetty

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. K.S.Chaudhary, Advocate for the petitioner.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of a writ, in the nature of mandamus for directing respondent No.3 to consider her case for counselling and issuing her offer letter as well as place of posting being meritorious than other candidates as Maths teacher.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that at this stage he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.3- Director, Department of Education (Senior Secondary), Punjab School Education Board, Mohali to consider and decide the representation dated 16.01.2018 (Annexure P-9).

Heard.

In view of the statement made and without adverting to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3- Director, Department of Education (Senior Secondary),

Punjab School Education Board, Mohali to consider and decide the representation dated 16.01.2018 (Annexure P-9). In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioner is admissible to her, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to her, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioner is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

30.01.2018
vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No