

110

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-1924-2018

Date of decision-30.01.2018

Pritpal Singh

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. G.S.Saini, Advocate for the petitioner.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of a writ, in the nature of mandamus for directing respondent No.2 to accord promotion to the petitioner from the date of promotion to his junior respondent No.3 with consequential benefits.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that at this stage he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.2- Director Public Instructions (Secondary Education), Punjab, Punjab School Education Complex, Phase-8, SAS Nagar (Mohali) to consider and decide the representation dated 26.12.2017 (Annexure P-2).

Heard.

In view of the above, without adverting to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2- Director Public Instructions (Secondary Education), Punjab, Punjab School Education Complex, Phase-8, SAS Nagar (Mohali) to consider and

decide the representation dated 26.12.2017 (Annexure P-2). In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioner is admissible to him, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to her, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioner is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

30.01.2018
vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No