

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CWP No.19195 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of decision: November 12, 2018

Gurmel Singh ...Petitioner

Versus

The State of Haryana and others ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA

Present: Mr. Sandeep Bansal, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Vishal Garg, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.
Mr. Rajesh K. Sheoran, Advocate for respondent No.2.

Rajan Gupta, J.(oral)

Only grievance of the petitioner is for a direction to respondents No.1 to 3 to remove the tree in front of gate of house of the petitioner.

Reply on behalf of respondent No.2 has been filed in court. Same is taken on record. Learned State counsel further submits that the matter shall be examined by respondent No.1 with the assistance of respondents No.2 and 4. Necessary steps, as required under the circumstances, shall be taken at the earliest, in any case not later than four weeks.

In view of statement made by the State counsel, no cause of action survives in the petition. Same is hereby disposed of.

**(RAJAN GUPTA)
JUDGE**

November 12, 2018
'Rajpal'

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes / No

Whether Reportable:

Yes / No