

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Civil Writ Petition No.19187 of 2018.

Date of Decision: August 03, 2018

Deepa and another

.....Petitioners

versus

Union of India and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT.

HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA.

Present: Mr.N.D.Achint, Advocate, for the petitioners.

-. -

Surya Kant, J. (Oral)

The petitioners are aggrieved by the order dated 15.05.2018 whereby the Central Administrative Tribunal, Chandigarh Bench has disposed of their Original Application on the basis of an affidavit filed by respondent No.6. The said affidavit appears to have contained the 'terms' for the distribution of pension and other retiral benefits of late Dharma Dutt.

The 1st petitioner is stated to be the second wife of late Dharma Dutt and petitioner No.2 and proforma respondent No.8 are the children from the first wife of Dharma Dutt. On a plain reading of the impugned order passed by the Tribunal, it gives an impression of a 'consent order'. However, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that neither they gave the consent nor agreed to the proposal given by respondent No.6 regarding distribution of pensionary benefits. If that is so, we dispose of this writ petition granting liberty to the petitioners to file a review application before

the Tribunal. Let the said application be decided by the Tribunal on merits

CWP No.19187 of 2018

[2]

and in accordance with law.

Dasti.

**[SURYA KANT]
JUDGE**

August 03, 2018
mohinder

**(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No