

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.19180 OF 2018
DECIDED ON: AUGUST 03, 2018

LACHHMAN SINGH

.....PETITIONER..

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Saurabh Bajaj, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J.

Through instant petition, preferred under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to release the amount of leave encashment with interest from the date it becomes due.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though petitioner moved representation dated 11.04.2018 (P-4) but till date no conscious decision has been taken. He further submits that petitioner feels satisfied in case direction is issued to respondent(s) to decide aforesaid representation, within a stipulated period.

3. Instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent(s) to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in representation dated 11.04.2018 (P-4) and to take a conscious decision in the light of judgment

passed by this Court in *CWP No.24923 of 2012 (O&M)*, titled as “*Bal Singh Lokesh and others v. State of Haryana and others*”, decided on 16.11.2016, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

4. However, if the petitioner still feel aggrieved against any of the order(s) passed by the concerned authority, he shall be at liberty to have recourse to the remedies available under law as well as to approach this Court.

AUGUST 03, 2018
sonika

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>