

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 20902 of 2017
Decided on : 09.07.2018**

Parminder Singh

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

Union Bank of India and others

... Respondent(s)

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN**

PRESENT: Mr. Ranjan Lakhanpal, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

AJAY KUMAR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

The petitioner has approached this Court under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, seeking direction to the respondent Bank to restrain from issuing any notice to the petitioner regarding the loan which petitioner has not taken and further direction be issued to CIBIL not to reduce the petitioner's CIBIL Score on the basis of information supplied by the State Bank of India, Branch Matour, Sector 70, Mohali. Besides, a prayer has also been made for issuing direction to the State Bank of India, Branch Matour, Sector 70, Mohali, for not giving the wrong information to CIBIL, because of which the score of the petitioner has been lowered for no valid reason.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that Annexure P-1 was issued by the State Bank of India, Sector 17-B, Main Branch, Chandigarh. However, no reply thereto has been filed by the petitioner. Though the reply has been filed to the Branch Manager, State Bank of India, Branch Matour, Sector 70, Mohali. Accordingly, a prayer was made that he may be allowed to withdraw the present writ petition with liberty to the petitioner to file a detailed and comprehensive reply to Annexure P-1 to the concerned Branch i.e. the State Bank of India, Sector 17-B, Main Branch, Chandigarh.

3. Dismissed as withdrawn. It shall, however, be open to the petitioner to take recourse to the remedies as may be available to him, in accordance with law.

(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

July 09, 2018

J.Ram

Whether speaking/reasoned: *Yes/No*

Whether Reportable: *Yes/No*