

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Civil Writ Petition No.19174 of 2018

Date of Decision: August 03, 2018

Mahavir Singh

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT.

HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA.

Present: Mr.K.K.Chaudhary, Advocate, for the petitioner.

-.-

Surya Kant, J. (Oral)

The petitioner claims to be an 'oustee' as his land was statedly acquired for Sector-25, Part-II, Panipat. He is claiming the benefit of 'Oustees Policy' floated by HUDA and the State Government from time to time including dated 10.09.1987, 09.05.1990 and 28.08.1998. He relies upon the Full Bench decision of this Court dated 22.11.2017 passed in **CWP No.22252 of 2016 (Rajiv Manchanda and others versus Haryana Urban Development Authority and another).**

We find that such a claim has been raised by the petitioner vide representation dated 16.05.2018 (P-9) also.

Since the authorities have taken no decision in respect of the petitioner's claim, the writ petition is disposed of without expressing any views on merits, with a direction to the respondents to consider and decide the claim of the petitioner in terms of the cited decision in **Rajiv Manchanda's case (supra)** and in accordance with law/policy decisions, by way of a reasoned order within a period of **four months** from the date of

receiving a certified copy of this order.

Dasti.

**[SURYA KANT]
JUDGE**

August 03, 2018
mohinder

**(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No