

**CWP No. 19095 of 2018
DECIDED ON: AUGUST 02, 2018**

GURMUKH SINGH PAWAR

....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Gurmeet Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

Through the instant civil writ petition, preferred under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought the issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents No.1 and 2 to release him all the retiral benefits i.e. GPF, leave encashment, gratuity etc.

2. The contention of learned counsel for the petitioner is that petitioner attained the age of superannuation on 16.11.2017 but till date nothing has been paid to him on account of his retirement. Even, the representation/application dated 02.05.2018 (P-7) moved to respondent No.2 by the petitioner did not fetch any response. At this juncture, he submits that he feels satisfied in case a direction is issued to respondent No.2 to decide the afore-said representation/application (P-7) in a time bound manner.

3. In view of afore-said aspects but without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in his representation/application dated 02.05.2018 (P-7) and to take a conscious decision by passing a speaking order considering all the facts narrated in the aforesaid representation/application within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

4. However, if petitioner still feels aggrieved by any of the orders passed by the aforesaid authority, he shall be at liberty to have recourse to other remedies available under the law including to approach this Court.

AUGUST 02, 2018
sham

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>