

CWP No. 19037 of 2018
DECIDED ON: AUGUST 02, 2018

SHAKUNTLA DEVI AND OTHERS

.....PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Jasbir Singh Mohri, Advocate
for the petitioners.

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

By virtue of instant petition preferred under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, petitioners have sought a writ in the nature of certiorari to quash para No.4 of instruction dated 12.12.2006 (P-3) issued by the Government of Punjab whereby, the Contributory Pension Scheme introduced by respondents has been made applicable retrospectively.

2. The contention of learned counsel for the petitioner is that petitioners held entitled for the pensionary benefits after counting the daily wages service rendered by their deceased husbands as per judgment rendered by this Court in CWP No. 2371 of 2010, titled as **Harbans Lal vs. State of Punjab and others; (P-4)**. He further submits that he feels satisfied in case a direction is issued to respondents No.2 & 3 to decide the representation dated 22.04.2018 (P-10) in a time bound manner.

3. Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents No.2 and 3 to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioners in their representation dated 22.04.2018 (P-10) and to take a conscious decision by passing a speaking order considering all the facts narrated in the aforesaid representation and also in the light of *Harbans Lal's case (supra)*, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

4. However, if petitioners still feel aggrieved by any of the orders passed by the aforesaid authority, they shall be at liberty to have recourse to other remedies available under the law including to approach this Court.

AUGUST 02, 2018
sham

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>